

RESEARCH PAPER

Evaluation of Hybrid Maize (QPM) for Preparation of Nutritious Recipes of Various Foods Products Nutritional Security

Subbulakshmi, B*. and Amutha, S.

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-03, India

*Corresponding author: malayasubbu@gmail.com

Paper No.: 222

Received: 15-06-2018

Revised: 19-09-2018

Accepted: 23-11-2018

ABSTRACT

Maize (*Zea mays*) is a major cereal crop for human nutrition worldwide with its high content of carbohydrates, fats, proteins, some of the important vitamins and minerals. However, in spite of several important uses, maize has an inbuilt drawback of being deficient in two essential amino acids, viz., lysine and tryptophan. To overcome this, the breeding scientist mutated the opaque-2 (*o2*) gene in normal maize and made grain proteins in the endosperm nearly twice as nutritious as those found in normal maize. These mutations, which derive their names from soft, floury/opaque endosperm, alter the amino acid profile and composition of maize endosperm protein and result in two-fold increase in the levels of lysine and tryptophan in comparison with the normal genotypes which is called as Quality Protein Maize (QPM). Effective utilization of QPM in diversified way, by conversion into a variety of products for use as infant foods, health foods/ mixes, convenience foods specialty foods and emergency ration can achieve the food and nutritional security of our country. Hence, the present study was undertaken to develop nutritious recipes based on QPM maize as flour and *suji* (semolina) by supplementing with pulses. Quality protein maize (QPM) was substituted in different proportions in traditional foods (*Idli, Dosa, Pittu* and *Adai*), convenience foods (Papad and Noodles), bakery foods (Cookies and Bread) and snack foods (*Vada* and *pakoda*) were organoleptically evaluated using 9 point hedonic scale and also estimated their nutrient composition. All the products scored maximum acceptability and their nutrients content were higher compared to the native maize based products. Thus, their introduction could contribute food and nutritional security by meeting energy and protein needs of consumer.

Keywords: Hybrid maize, QPM, product development, commercialization

Cereals are the most important source of world's food and have significant impact in human diet. In India and Africa, cereal products comprise of 80 per cent or more of the average diet, 50 per cent in central and Western Europe and between 20-25 per cent in the US (Adebayo *et al.* 2010). Maize (*Zea mays*) is a major cereal crop for human nutrition worldwide with its high content of carbohydrates, fats, proteins, some of the important vitamins and minerals. Maize acquired a well deserved reputation as a poor man's nutra-cereal. Globally, maize is known as a queen of cereals because it has the highest genetic yield potential

among the cereals. Maize ranks third after wheat and rice as one of the world's three leading food grains, are grown on 140 million hectare in 100 countries and produced 700 million metric tons of grain in 2004. The top six producers of maize in the world are United States, China, Brazil, Mexico, France, and India and they share the 75 per cent of total world maize production. Maize, providing an estimated 15 per cent of the world's protein and 20 per cent of the world's calories, is a dietary staple for more than 200 million people. This number can be expected to grow as the world's population approaches 8 billion

in 2025 (Nuss and Tanumihardjo, 2010). However, in spite of several important uses, maize has an inbuilt drawback of being deficient in two essential amino acids, *viz.*, lysine and tryptophan and the same has been overcome through an inter-disciplinary research involving breeders, biochemists and other disciplinary scientists. Thus, discovery of maize mutants in the mid-1960s containing the *opaque-2* gene which enhances levels of lysine and tryptophan in the endosperm protein, opened a new era in breeding for improvement of quality in maize. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) identified the most productive maize cultivars with high lysine and tryptophan contents. Through back crossing and several recurrent selections, maize breeders of CIMMYT and the National Research Institute for Forestry, Agriculture and Livestock (INIFAP) have successfully developed 26 hybrids and cultivars, similar in yield and other important agronomic properties to normal maize. These new high-quality protein genotypes are collectively called quality protein maize (QPM) (Milan-Carrillo *et al.* 2004).

Quality Protein Maize hybrids incorporate an "*opaque-2*" gene identified from mutants within maize which reduces the concentration of prolamine, the dominant protein fraction in the regular maize kernels that are high in leucine and isoleucine. Today more than 23 countries have released and are producing QPM in the developing world. Three decades of research at CIMMYT has led to the development of QPM cultivars that possess high-yield and better quality that can lead to nutritional security among developing world poor. In order to achieve the food and nutritional security of our country the current thrust is an effective utilization of QPM and its products in diversified way, by conversion into a variety of products for use as infant foods, health foods/ mixes, convenience foods specialty foods and emergency ration (Shobha *et al.*, 2011). Hence, the present study was undertaken to develop nutritious recipes based on QPM maize as flour and suji (semolina) by supplementing with pulses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out in the Food Science and Nutrition department laboratory, Home Science College and Research Institute, Madurai. The normal maize variety CO1 bought from Department of millets, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore, Quality protein maize (QPM) variety HQPM 7 was bought from Zonal Agricultural Research Station (ZARS), Mandyal, Karnataka, India and other ingredients used for the study were bought from the local market.

Processing of value added products from maize

The CO 1 and HQPM 7 maize varieties were pulverized into flour and grits form in a commercial mill and the flour was sieved using BS 60 mesh sieve. Flour and grits were used for processing into different ready-to-use and ready-to-eat value added products at different incorporation levels of substitution.

Maize flour and refined wheat flour blends were used to develop noodles, cookies and bread. While maize flour and rice flour blends were used to develop *pittu* mix and *vadagam*. Papad was developed using maize flour and black gram flour blends. Traditional south Indian foods like *dosa* and *idli* were developed from maize, rice and black gram and *pakoda* from maize flour, bengal gram flour and rice flour blends. Standard procedures and recipes were employed for the production of various products.

The sensory quality attributes of the products were evaluated using a nine point hedonic scale as given by Srilakshmi (2006) to determine the level of acceptability of the maize flour incorporated products.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The sensory scores of maize flour incorporated traditional and ready to use convenience foods are presented in Table 1.

Traditional foods

Papad prepared from 30 per cent maize flour substitution recorded the maximum acceptability

Table 1: Organoleptic scores of CO1 and HQPM 7 maize incorporated traditional and ready to use convenience food

Sl. No.	Products	Level of incorporation	CO 1					HQPM 7						
			Colour	Appearance	Flavour	Texture	Taste	Overall acceptability	Colour	Appearance	Flavour	Texture	Taste	Overall acceptability
Traditional Foods														
1	Papad	30	8.5±0.62	8.7±0.58	8.7±0.47	8.4±0.58	8.8±0.68	8.8±0.84	8.5±0.68	8.3±0.65	8.4±0.49	8.4±0.67	8.5±0.50	8.5±0.57
2	Vadagam	100	8.6±0.67	8.1±0.37	8.2±0.44	8.7±0.50	8.4±0.32	8.4±0.31	8.2±0.53	8.5±0.46	8.3±0.65	8.5±0.62	8.7±0.46	8.6±0.56
3	Kesari	100	8.8±0.40	8.6±0.49	8.6±0.49	8.1±0.37	8.1±0.34	8.0±0.25	8.3±0.44	8.1±0.37	8.3±0.54	8.5±0.50	8.6±0.46	8.3±0.55
4	Upma	100	8.7±0.43	8.6±0.49	8.6±0.49	8.3±0.50	8.5±0.50	8.5±0.47	8.7±0.43	8.4±0.67	8.6±0.56	7.8±0.54	8.2±0.48	8.6±0.56
5	Puliyotharai	100	8.5±0.32	8.2±0.13	8.3±0.16	8.2±0.42	8.7±0.53	8.4±0.21	8.2±0.44	8.4±0.50	8.0±0.37	8.3±0.67	8.3±0.69	8.2±0.46
6	Vada	75	8.2±0.40	8.5±0.50	8.4±0.41	8.5±0.58	8.6±0.57	8.5±0.49	8.3±0.43	8.4±0.50	8.3±0.49	8.6±0.50	8.4±0.57	8.6±0.56
7	Idli	50	8.2±0.40	8.5±0.50	8.4±0.49	8.5±0.57	8.6±0.49	8.5±0.50	8.2±0.42	8.3±0.57	8.4±0.48	8.4±0.52	8.5±0.51	8.5±0.57
Ready to use convenience foods														
8	Dosa	50	8.1±0.37	8.6±0.50	8.5±0.56	8.5±0.56	8.6±0.62	8.6±0.49	8.7±0.46	8.5±0.50	8.4±0.49	8.3±0.49	8.2±0.44	8.5±0.50
9	Pakoda	75	8.2±0.40	8.5±0.50	8.4±0.49	8.5±0.50	8.6±0.49	8.5±0.50	8.6±0.47	8.6±0.49	8.6±0.49	8.2±0.52	8.3±0.49	8.6±0.50
10	Adai	50	8.8±0.40	8.3±0.79	8.6±0.49	7.9±0.54	8.2±0.61	8.6±0.49	8.6±0.40	8.4±0.79	8.1±0.49	8.9±0.34	8.3±0.65	8.6±0.69
11	Kheer	100	8.1±0.31	8.4±0.82	8.5±0.21	8.7±0.23	8.8±0.54	8.7±0.11	8.1±0.31	8.6±0.82	8.5±0.21	8.5±0.13	8.8±0.54	8.7±0.18
12	Pittu	75	8.2±0.40	8.5±0.50	8.4±0.49	8.5±0.50	8.6±0.49	8.3±0.49	8.2±0.40	8.7±0.50	8.3±0.49	8.4±0.20	8.6±0.43	8.3±0.43
13	Nutriball	60	8.3±0.19	8.4±0.18	8.2±0.11	8.8±0.14	8.7±0.14	8.6±0.16	8.6±0.49	7.6±0.68	8.2±0.40	7.1±0.64	8.3±0.43	8.1±0.57

Values are mean ±SD.

in CO1 and HQPM 7 maize varieties and had the overall acceptability scores of 8.8±0.84 and 8.5±0.57, respectively. *Vadagam*, dehydrated product was prepared from whole maize flour of both the varieties obtained the overall acceptability scores of 8.4±0.31 and 8.6±0.56, respectively. Traditional foods namely, *kesari*, *upuma* and *puliyotharai* were prepared from 100 per cent CO1 as well as HQPM 7 maize grits and had the overall acceptability scores of 8.0±0.25, 8.5±0.47, 8.4±0.2, 8.3±0.55, 8.6±0.56 and 8.2±0.46, respectively. The south Indian breakfast products of *idli* and *vada* prepared from 50 and 75 per cent of maize and had the overall acceptability of 8.5±0.50, 8.5±0.49 and 8.5±0.57, 8.6±0.56 respectively in CO1 and HQPM 7 maize varieties. Singh *et al.* (2006) prepared three traditional products *viz.*, *mathi*, *pakoda* and *sev* out of yellow maize (HM4). Maize flour was incorporated with about 80 percent in *mathi* and 40 per cent each in *pakoda* and *sev* preparation obtained the mean scores was above 7 for over all acceptability.

Siddaraju *et al.* (2008) reported that 30 per cent of winged yam flour incorporated two dehydrated food products *viz.* *papad* and *sandige* (dehydrated extruded product) was on par with control with respect to all sensory attributes and had overall acceptability scores of 7.5±0.66 and 6.4±0.67, respectively.

Ready-to-use convenience foods

Ready to use *dosa* mix, *pakoda* mix, *adai* mix, *pittu* mix, *kheer* mix and *nutriball* mix prepared using both CO1 and HQPM 7 maize flour. *Dosa* mix and *adai* mix prepared with 50 and 40 per cent of maize flour, respectively. *Kheer* mix prepared from whole maize grits, *pakoda* mix and *pittu* mix made out of 75 per cent of maize flour. For nutri ball 60 per cent of maize flour added with 40 per cent of roasted Bengal gram flour. The overall acceptability of CO1 maize incorporated *dosa* mix, *pakoda* mix, *adai* mix, *pittu* mix, *kheer* mix and *nutriball* were 8.6±0.49, 8.5±0.50, 8.6±0.49, 8.3±0.49, 8.7±0.11 and 8.6±0.16 respectively.

Table 2: Organoleptic scores of CO1 and HQPM 7 maize incorporated extruded and bakery foods

Sl. No.	Products	Level of incorporation	CO 1					HQPM 7						
			Colour	Appearance	Flavour	Texture	Taste	Overall acceptability	Colour	Appearance	Flavour	Texture	Taste	Overall acceptability
Extruded foods														
1	Noodles	50	8.1±0.37	8.8±0.37	8.1±0.68	8.5±0.50	8.4±0.50	8.4±0.50	8.2±0.37	8.3±0.37	8.5±0.68	8.5±0.50	8.2±0.52	8.6±0.15
Bakery foods														
2	Cookies	50	8.8±0.40	7.8±0.74	8.0±0.18	7.2±0.29	8.2±0.21	8.1±0.17	8.3±0.40	7.8±0.74	8.4±0.18	7.2±0.39	8.1±0.27	8.1±0.34
3	Bread	20	8.6±0.49	8.2±0.44	8.1±0.34	7.7±0.50	8.1±0.34	8.5±0.42	8.4±0.49	8.1±0.44	8.6±0.34	7.7±0.50	8.1±0.31	8.6±0.13

Values are mean ±SD.

However, the corresponding figures for HQPM 7 maize incorporated *dosa* mix, *pakoda* mix, *adai* mix, *pittu* mix, *kheer* mix and *nutriball* were 8.5±0.50, 8.6±0.50, 8.6±0.69, 8.3±0.43, 8.7±0.18 and 8.1±0.57 respectively. Premakumari *et al.* (2012) reported that 25 per cent incorporation of rice bran in ready to eat mixes *viz.*, *chapati*, mixed vegetable *chapati*, wheat *dosa*, wheat *rava idly*, *adai*, *rava adai*, *ragi adai*, rice vermicelli, *ragi* vermicelli and *kozhakattai* had good acceptability. Yadav *et al.* (2011) formulated the instant mixes using *ragi* with different italics except and proportions. About 60 per cent *ragi* in *dosa* mix, 80 per cent in *sankati* mix (*ragi* rice balls) and 45 per cent in *idli* mix were found to be acceptable. Danak and Patel (2011) developed the instant idli mix using parboiled rice (25 per cent), *ragi* (25 per cent), *kodri* (20 per cent) and whole *udad* (20 per cent) which exhibited good scores in all sensory attributes.

The organoleptic scores of maize flour incorporated extruded foods and bakery foods are presented in Table 2.

Extruded foods

Extruded foods like noodles prepared using 50 per cent of refined wheat flour and 50 per cent of HQPM 7 maize flour had higher overall acceptability (8.6±0.15) compared to the noodles from 50 per cent of refined wheat flour and 50 per cent CO1 maize flour (8.4±0.50). Balasubramanian *et al.* (2012) suggested that 85 per cent of maize flour and 15 per

cent of legume flour namely, black gram, green gram, lentil and peas incorporated extrudates show the better quality of protein and recommended for the production of low cost instant flour and infant foods. Devi *et al.* (2013) developed ready to eat acceptable extruded products using foxtail millet and maize in the ratio of 60:40.

Bakery foods

Cookies and bread was prepared with acceptability up to the 75 per cent and 20 per cent of maize flour in both the varieties respectively. CO1 and HQPM 7 based cookies had overall acceptability scores of 8.1±0.17 and 8.1±0.34 respectively and also CO1 and HQPM 7 maize substituted bread had scores of 8.5±0.42 and 8.6±0.13 respectively. Rai *et al.* (2012) reported that 25 per cent of maize flour incorporated with wheat flour in bread preparation found to be acceptable. Nazni and Pradeepa (2010b) reported that 70 per cent of wheat flour and 30 per cent maize flour incorporated biscuits had an overall acceptability score of 8.60±0.73.

CONCLUSION

The present study concluded that the HQPM 7 variety maize flour is expected to be better in terms of protein quality since the maize has adequate quantity of the amino acids compared to CO1 maize flour. The study opens new areas of research since the product development can be scientifically tested and it will help in creating demand by forming a base

for commercial product development as a low cost nutritional snack which will have ready market in both rural and urban areas. The feasibility of using maize for preparation of different traditional and non-traditional foods provides health benefits and also wide scope of initiation of maize based rural entrepreneurship.

REFERENCES

- Adebowale, A.A., Sanni, S.A., Karim, O.R. and Ojoawo, J.A. 2010. Malting characteristics of of *ada* rice: chemical and sensory qualities of malt from of *ada* rice grains. *International Food Research Journal*, **17**: 83-88.
- Balasubramanian, S., Borah, A. and Anand, T. 2012. Altering pasting characteristics of selected dehulled legumes incorporated rice and maize extrudates. *Beverage and Food World*, **39(4)**: 44-46.
- Danak, T. and Patel, T. 2011. Development of instant idli mix using underutilized grains. In Proceedings of 44th National Conference, Nutrition society of India. November 16-17th 2012. Thirupathi. Andhra Pradesh, pp. 131.
- Devi, S.G., Palanimuthu, V., Sandeep, T.N. and Kumar, A.H.S. 2013. Development of foxtail millet based ready to eat (*kurkure* type) hot extruded product. In Proceedings of 3rd INCOFTECH-2010. International Conference on Food Technology. Indian Institute of Crop Processing Technology. 4-5th January 2013. Thanjavur. Tamil Nadu. India, pp. 204.
- Milan-Carrillo, J.A., Gutierrez-Dorado, R., Cuevas-Rodriguez, O.G., Garzon-Tiznado, J.A. and Reyes-Moreno, C. 2004. Nixtamalized flour from Quality Protein Maize (*Zea mays* L). optimization of alkaline processing. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, **59**: 35-44.
- Nazni, P. and Pradeepa, S. 2010b. Organoleptic evaluation of biscuits prepared from potato flour. *Beverage and Food World*, **37(4)**: 31-34.
- Nuss, E.T. and Tanumihardjo, S.A. 2010. Maize: A paramount staple crop in the context of global nutrition. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, **9**: 417-435.
- Premakumari, S., Balasasirekha, R., Gomathi, K., Supriya, S., Jagan Mohan, R. and Alagusundram, K. 2012. Development and acceptability of fibre enriched ready mixes. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology*, **9(2)**: 74-83.
- Rai, S., Kaur, A., Singh, B. and Minhas, K.S. 2012. Quality characteristics of bread produced from wheat, rice and maize flours. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **49(6)**: 786-789.
- Shobha, D., Pradannakumar, M.K., Puttaramanaik and Sreemastty, T.A. 2011. Effect of antioxidant on the shelf life of quality protein maize flour. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences*, **1(3)**: 129-140.
- Siddaraju, N. S., Ahmed, F. and Urooj, A. 2008. Effect of incorporation of *Dioscorea alata* flour on the quality and sensory attributes of Indian dehydrated products. *World Journal of Dairy and Food Sciences*, **3(2)**: 34-38.
- Singh, V., Kawatra, A. and Sehgal, S. 2006. Proximate composition & mineral (Fe, Ca, Zn and Mg) constituents in maize-based traditional Indian food products. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **16(3)**: 153-159.
- Srilakshmi, B. 2006. Food Science, New Age International (P) limited. New Delhi, pp. 292-293.
- Yadav, M., Lalithakala, K. and Ravinder, A. 2011. Studies on preparation of different instant mixes and biscuits of *Eleusine coracan*. In Proceedings of 43rd National Conference, Nutrition society of India. November 11-12th 2011. Hyderabad. Andhra Pradesh, pp. 119.

